United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,972	05/05/2005	Daniel Hugo Castez	GBA-003	9598
	7590 03/23/200 N LAW GROUP	7 .	EXAM	IINER
WATERFRON	T CENTER SUITE 56	0	WILLIAMS, THOMAS J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	SIN AVENUE NW N. DC 20007			
	•		3683	
	<u> </u>		,	_
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/23/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,972	CASTEZ, DANIEL HUGO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas J. Williams	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		s, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•			
Disposition of Claims		,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.		·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or orosion roquiromonia	•			
Application Papers					
9) ✓ The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Ap	olication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sui	nmany (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		rmal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/05/05</u> .	6)	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/533,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made in the receipt of the priority papers, the oath and the information disclosure statement filed May 5, 2005.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The phrase "means" in line 2 should be removed.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 lines 2 and 6, the phrase "being" should be deleted; claim 1 line 7, the phrase "the" should be added prior to the phrase "vehicle"; claim 8 line 5, the phrase "being" should be deleted; claim 8 lines 5-8, the recitation "the point of application of force of lever (3) on roller (21) co-linear with the turning axis of the mounting rollers for supporting rocker arm of roller (21) so as to ensure its invariability irrespective of angular change of application of force" contains poor grammar and should be rewritten. Appropriate correction is required.

It is recommended that the applicant review all of the claims for proper grammar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,972 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the suspended structure" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the vertical axis" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the higher end" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the support roller" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the leverage angle" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 1,547,243 to Wadsworth.

Re-claims 1 and 6, Wadsworth discloses in figures 5-13 an aerostatic suspension (broadly interpreted as any pneumatic or air suspension system), comprising: a cylinder 15 and piston 18

Art Unit: 3683

set, the piston is linked to a suspended structure of the vehicle by means of an articulation that allows pivoting in two co-planer horizontal axes (see pivot points at 4a and 6a), the cylinder possesses one degree of freedom along a vertical axis, is connected to a lever 3a with an adjustable point 12a of application force (see various holes located in lever 3a, in figures 5, 12 and 13), the distal end of the lever is articulated with a higher end of a wheel support rod of a vehicle (see figure 5).

Page 4

Re-claim 2, the piston and the cylinder are constructed from the same material, as indicated by the cross hatching in the figures, each appears to be a metal material.

Re-claim 3, the piston is provided with seals, see seal elements 19 and 20.

Re-claim 4, the seals are flexible and as such are interpreted as being elastomeric.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 5, 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 14. disclosure. Gerin teaches an adjustable aerostatic suspension.
- 15. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128. The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday-Friday from 6:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,972 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan, can be reached at 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

TJW

March 21, 2007

THOMAS J. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Thomas Williams
AU 1687
3-21-07